UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES— GENERAL

| Case No. | | 5:25-cv-00313-SHK | | | Date | April 1, 2025 | |
|--|--|-------------------|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Title Darwin Boggs v. Island Auto Parts Warehouse, Inc. et al. | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | |
| Present: The Honorable Shashi H. Kewalramani, United States Magistrate Judge | | | | | | | |
| D. Castellanos | | | | Not Reported | | | |
| Deputy Clerk | | | | | Court Reporter | | |
| Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s): | | | | Attorney | Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s): | | |
| None Present | | | | | None Present | | |

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On February 4, 2025, Plaintiff Darwin Boggs ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint ("Complaint" or "Compl.") in this Federal Court. Electronic Case Filing Number ("ECF No.") 1, Compl. On February 26, 2025, Plaintiff filed a Proof of Service of Summons of Complaint ("Proof of Service" or "POS"), indicating that Plaintiff served the summons, Complaint and Notice of Assignment on Defendant Transtar Properties, LLC. The following day, on February 27, 2025, Plaintiff filed another proof of service for Defendant Island Auto Parts Warehouse Inc. ECF No. 7, 8, POS.

Generally, defendants must answer or otherwise respond to a complaint within twenty-one days after being served with the summons and Complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A)(i). Here, because service was effectuated on February 25, 2025, and February 26, 2025, Defendant's answer was due twenty-one days late. As of the date of this Order, Defendants have not filed an answer or otherwise responded to the Complaint and Plaintiff has not requested that default be entered against Defendants as authorized under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 55. As such, this litigation is stalled.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing by **April 8, 2025**, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff can satisfy this order by requesting that default be entered against Defendants pursuant to Rule 55 by the date stated above.

Plaintiff is warned that failure to timely respond to this OSC in the manner stated above **may result in the dismissal of this action** for failure to prosecute and follow Court orders.

IT IS SO ORDERED.